

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,567	11/03/2005	Gerhard Olbert	13156-00027-US	4740	
23416 CONNOLLY	7590 07/31/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2207			KOSACK, JOSEPH R		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/552,567	OLBERT ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Joseph R. Kosack	1626				

	Joseph R. Kosack	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	on. LED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a They raise new issues that would require further cor b They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
 (c)			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1). 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) for how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAUT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xpianation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. Magnetis The reconsideration has been considered by Even though Wu et al. is directed to solar energy, two C Therefore, there is a motivation to combine the reference using an ionic liquid as a heat transfer medium as shown obviousness is very strong, the additional properties that obviousness.	learly reference thermal heat transfes. Additionally, Wu et al. teaches in the previous rejection. Hence,	er as shown in the pre some of the superiour as the prima facie cas	evious rejection properties of se of
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

/REI-TSANG SHIAO / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090730